

# A note on the structure of algebraic curvature tensors<sup>1</sup>

J. CARLOS DÍAZ-RAMOS      EDUARDO GARCÍA-RÍO

## Abstract

It is shown that any algebraic curvature tensor on an  $n$ -dimensional vector space can be represented by at most  $n(n+1)/2$  symmetric bilinear forms.

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 53B20.

**Key words and phrases:** Algebraic curvature tensor, normal coordinates, Nash embedding theorem.

---

<sup>1</sup>Supported by projects BFM2001-3778-C03-01 and BFM 2003-02949 (Spain)

Let  $V$  be an  $n$ -dimensional vector space with an inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . An *algebraic curvature tensor* is an  $F \in \otimes^4(V^*)$  satisfying the algebraic identities of the curvature tensor of a Riemannian manifold:

$$\begin{aligned} F(x, y, z, w) &= -F(y, x, z, w) = F(z, w, x, y) \\ F(x, y, z, w) + F(y, z, x, w) + F(z, x, y, w) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The space of algebraic curvature tensors  $\mathcal{R}(V)$  is a  $n^2(n^2 - 1)/12$ -dimensional vector space. Let  $S(V)$  and  $A(V)$  denote the spaces of symmetric and anti-symmetric bilinear forms on  $V$  and define

$$(1) \quad F^\phi(x, y, z, w) = \phi(x, z)\phi(y, w) - \phi(y, z)\phi(x, w)$$

for any  $\phi \in S(V)$ , and

$$(2) \quad F^\psi(x, y, z, w) = \psi(x, z)\psi(y, w) - \psi(y, z)\psi(x, w) - 2\psi(x, y)\psi(z, w)$$

for any  $\psi \in A(V)$ . Then it follows that  $F_\phi$  and  $F_\psi$  are algebraic curvature tensors. Further put

$$\mathcal{A}(V) = \text{span}\{F^\phi\}_{\phi \in A(V)} \subset \mathbb{R}(V), \quad \mathcal{S}(V) = \text{span}\{F^\psi\}_{\psi \in S(V)} \subset \mathbb{R}(V).$$

Then the space of algebraic curvature tensors coincides with  $\mathcal{S}(V)$  and  $\mathcal{A}(V)$  (see also [1]):

**Theorem 1** [3],[4] *Let  $(V^n, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  be an  $n$ -dimensional vector space with an inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . Then,*

$$\mathcal{R}(V) = \mathcal{S}(V) = \mathcal{A}(V)$$

Here, it is worth to emphasize that the proof of theorem above is constructive and it relies on basic linear algebra (cf. [3, Thm. 1.8.2]). By following that proof, one can obtain an estimate of the number of different symmetric tensor fields needed to express a given algebraic curvature tensor as follows. Let  $F$  be an algebraic curvature tensor and decompose it as  $F = \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \lambda_i F^{\phi_i}$  where  $\phi_i$  belong to one of the following:

- (i) For  $i < j$  define  $\phi_{ij} = \phi_{ji} = 1$ ,  $\phi_{ab} = 0$  otherwise.

$$(3) \quad i \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ & \cdot & & & & & & \cdot \\ & & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 1 & \cdot & \cdot \\ & & & \cdot & & \cdot & & \cdot \\ & & & & \cdot & \cdot & & \cdot \\ & & & & & \cdot & & \cdot \\ & & & & & & \cdot & \cdot \\ & & & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- (ii) For  $j \neq i \neq k, j < k$  define  $\phi_{ij} = \phi_{ji} = \phi_{ik} = \phi_{ki} = 1, \phi_{ab} = 0$  otherwise. Then we have:

$$(4) \quad i \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} & & & & j & k & & & \\ & & & & \downarrow & \downarrow & & & \\ 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ & \cdot & & & \cdot & \cdot & & & \\ & & \cdot & \cdot & 1 & \cdot & 1 & \cdot & \\ & & & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & & \\ & & & & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & & \\ & & & & & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \\ & & & & & & \cdot & \cdot & \\ & & & & & & & \cdot & \cdot & \\ & & & & & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- (iii) For  $i, j, k, l$  different, define  $\phi_{ik} = \phi_{ki} = \phi_{jl} = \phi_{lj} = 1, \phi_{ab} = 0$  otherwise. Then in matrix representation:

$$(5) \quad \begin{matrix} i \rightarrow \\ k \rightarrow \end{matrix} \begin{pmatrix} & & & & j & l & & & \\ & & & & \downarrow & \downarrow & & & \\ 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ & \cdot & & & \cdot & \cdot & & & \\ & & \cdot & \cdot & 1 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \\ & & & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & & \\ & & & & \cdot & \cdot & 1 & \cdot & \\ & & & & & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \\ & & & & & & \cdot & \cdot & \\ & & & & & & & \cdot & \cdot & \\ & & & & & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, the number of different symmetric tensors  $\phi$  needed to express any given algebraic curvature tensor is at most  $n(n-1)(n^2-n+2)/8$ . Our purpose in this note is to provide an alternative proof of  $\mathcal{R}(V) = \mathcal{S}(V)$  which gives a better (although not optimal) estimation.

**Theorem 2** *Let  $(V^n, \langle, \rangle)$  be an  $n$ -dimensional vector space with an inner product  $\langle, \rangle$ . Then, for any algebraic curvature tensor  $F \in \otimes^4(V^*)$  there exists at most  $\frac{1}{2}n(n+1)$  symmetric tensor  $\phi$  on  $V$  such that  $F$  is a linear combination of the associated algebraic curvature tensors  $F^\phi$ .*

**Proof.** First of all note that any algebraic curvature tensor  $F$  is geometrically realizable, i.e., there exists a smooth manifold  $M$  and a metric  $g$  on  $M$  such that the curvature tensor of  $(M, g)$  at some point  $m \in M$  is exactly  $F$ . We mean that there is a linear isometry  $\Phi : (V, \langle, \rangle) \rightarrow (T_m M, g_m)$  such that  $F = \Phi^* R_m$ , where  $R$  is the curvature tensor of  $g$ . For example, by using the theory of normal coordinates, define a metric in a neighborhood of the origin of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  as follows:

$$(6) \quad g_{ij}(x^1, \dots, x^n) = \delta_{ij} - \frac{1}{3} \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^n F_{i\alpha j\beta} x^\alpha x^\beta$$

where  $F_{ijkl} = F(e_i, e_j, e_k, e_l)$  and  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then, we have at the origin  $R_m = F$  with the identification  $V = \mathbb{R}^n$  by means of the previous basis.

Now, it follows from the Nash embedding theorem [6] that  $M$  is isometrically embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+\nu}$  for some  $\nu = \frac{3n(n+3)}{2}$ . Next, let  $\{e_1, \dots, e_\nu\}$  be an orthonormal basis of the normal space  $T_m^\perp M$  at  $m$  and let  $\Pi$  denote the second fundamental form of the immersion. For each tangent vectors  $x, y \in T_m M$  one has  $\Pi(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^\nu \phi_i(x, y)e_i$ , where  $\phi_i(x, y) = g(\Pi(x, y), e_i)$  is a symmetric bilinear tensor for all  $i = 1, \dots, \nu$ .

Now, it follows from the Gauss Equation that

$$\begin{aligned} F(x, y, v, w) &= R_m(x, y, v, w) \\ &= g(\Pi(x, v), \Pi(x, w)) - g(\Pi(x, w), \Pi(y, v)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^\nu \{\phi_i(x, v)\phi_i(y, w) - \phi_i(x, w)\phi_i(y, v)\} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^\nu F^{\phi_i}(x, y, v, w) \end{aligned}$$

which proves the result.

Finally note that a geometric realization of an algebraic curvature tensor can be done locally in an analytic manifold, and thus the codimension in Nash's theorem can be reduced to  $n(n+1)/2$  as desired [5].  $\square$

**Remark 3** A tensor  $K \in \otimes^5(V^*)$  is called an *algebraic covariant derivative curvature tensor* if it satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} K(x, y, z, v, w) &= -K(x, z, y, v, w) = K(x, v, w, y, z) \\ K(x, y, z, v, w) + K(x, z, v, y, w) + K(x, v, y, z, w) &= 0 \\ K(x, y, z, v, w) + K(y, z, x, v, w) + K(z, x, y, v, w) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Systems of generators of the space of algebraic covariant derivative curvature tensors have been investigated by Fiedler [2], where such generators are constructed from symmetric tensors of type 2 and 3. Next, consider

$$\bar{S}(V) = \{\Phi \in \otimes^3(V^*) : \Phi(x, y, z) = \Phi(x, z, y) \forall x, y, z \in V\}$$

and define an algebraic covariant derivative curvature tensor  $K^{\phi, \Phi}$  by

$$\begin{aligned} K^{\phi, \Phi}(x, y, z, v, w) &= \Phi(x, y, v)\phi(z, w) + \phi(y, v)\Phi(x, z, w) \\ &\quad - \Phi(x, y, w)\phi(z, v) - \phi(y, v)\Phi(x, z, w) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\phi$  is symmetric 2-tensor  $\phi \in S(V)$  and  $\Phi \in \bar{S}(V)$ .

Now, let  $K$  be an algebraic covariant derivative curvature tensor and note that it is geometrically realizable just extending (6) to

$$g_{ij}(x^1, \dots, x^n) = \delta_{ij} - \frac{1}{3} \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^n F_{i\alpha j\beta} x^\alpha x^\beta - \frac{1}{6} \sum_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma=1}^n K_{\alpha i \beta j \gamma} x^\alpha x^\beta x^\gamma.$$

Now, proceeding in the same way as in Theorem 2 we get

$$(7) \quad K(x, y, z, v, w) = \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} K^{\phi, \Phi}(x, y, z, v, w)$$

where  $\Phi_i(x, y, z) = (\nabla_x \phi_i)(y, z)$  in the notation of Theorem 2. This shows that the  $K^{\phi, \Phi}$ 's are a system of generators, and moreover an estimate for number of terms in (7) is obtained as  $\nu = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ .

## 1 Examples and applications

First of all, observe that for any two-dimensional manifold, the curvature tensor is expressed by the Ricci tensor and thus, any algebraic curvature tensor on a two-dimensional vector space is completely determined by exactly one  $F^\phi$ .

The situation is more complicated in dimension three but, since the curvature tensor in that dimension is completely determined by the Ricci tensor, we still have the following

**Theorem 4** *Let  $F$  be an algebraic curvature tensor in a three-dimensional vector space. Then*

- (a) *there exists exactly one symmetric (0, 2)-tensor  $\phi$  such that  $F = F^\phi$  or, otherwise*
- (b) *there exists exactly two distinct symmetric (0, 2)-tensors  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  such that*

$$F = \kappa_1 F^{\phi_1} + \kappa_2 F^{\phi_2}$$

*the second case occurs if and only if the Ricci tensor has eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 \neq 0 \neq \lambda_2, \lambda_3 = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $F$  be an algebraic curvature tensor in a three-dimensional vector space  $V$  with inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = g(\cdot, \cdot)$ . Let  $\rho^F$  denote the Ricci tensor and  $\tau^F$  the scalar curvature of  $F$ . Then, it is known that:

$$(8) F_{xyvw} = \frac{\tau^F}{2} (g_{xv}g_{yw} - g_{xw}g_{yv}) - (\rho_{xv}^F g_{yw} + \rho_{yw}^F g_{xv} - \rho_{xw}^F g_{yv} - \rho_{yv}^F g_{xw}).$$

Next, let  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$  be an orthonormal basis diagonalizing  $\rho^F$  and put

$$\rho^F = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, using (8) we have

$$F_{ijkl} = \left( \frac{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3}{2} - \lambda_i - \lambda_j \right) F_{ijkl}^{Id}$$

where  $F_{ijkl}^{Id} = \delta_{ik}\delta_{jl} - \delta_{il}\delta_{jk}$ . Define:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 &= \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 - \lambda_3 &= 2F_{2323} \\ \alpha_2 &= -\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 - \lambda_3 &= 2F_{1313} \\ \alpha_3 &= -\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 &= 2F_{1212} \end{aligned}$$

and consider the following cases:

(a.1)  $\alpha_1$ ,  $\alpha_2$  and  $\alpha_3$  are different from zero.

Let  $\epsilon_i = \pm 1$  denote the sign of  $\alpha_i$  and put

$$\epsilon = \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 \epsilon_3 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3}{2}}.$$

Now, define a symmetric tensor  $\phi$  with respect to the basis above by

$$\phi = \beta \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\alpha_1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\alpha_2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\alpha_3} \end{pmatrix}$$

or,  $\phi_{ij} = \frac{\beta}{\alpha_i} \delta_{ij}$ . Then  $F = \epsilon F^\phi$ .

(a.2)  $\alpha_1 \neq 0$  and  $\alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = 0$ .

Define

$$\phi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{\alpha_1}{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is straightforward to check that  $F = F^\phi$ .

(a.3) If  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = 0$ , then  $F = 0$ .

(b)  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \neq 0$  and  $\alpha_3 = 0$ .

Next we will show that it is not possible to express the given algebraic curvature tensor as  $F = \gamma F^\phi$ . On the contrary, suppose this can be achieved for certain  $\gamma$  and  $\phi$ . Since  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \neq 0$  we have  $F \neq 0$  and hence  $\gamma \neq 0$ . Then  $F = \gamma F^\phi$  is equivalent to solving the following system:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{11}\phi_{22} - \phi_{12}^2 &= 0 \\ \phi_{11}\phi_{23} &= \phi_{13}\phi_{12} \\ \phi_{12}\phi_{23} &= \phi_{13}\phi_{22} \\ \phi_{11}\phi_{33} - \phi_{13}^2 &= \frac{\alpha_2}{2\gamma} \\ \phi_{12}\phi_{33} &= \phi_{13}\phi_{23} \\ \phi_{22}\phi_{33} &= \frac{\alpha_1}{2\gamma} \end{aligned}$$

which is not hard to see that has no solution.

Nevertheless, it is possible to write  $F = F^{\phi_1} + F^{\phi_2}$ . For example take

$$\phi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{\alpha_1}{2} \end{pmatrix} \quad \phi_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{\alpha_2}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

and the equality follows after a simple computation.

□

**Remark 5** Note that, as an immediate application of Theorem 2, we obtain a criteria for non existence of immersions of a given manifold into a space of constant curvature. For instance, no Riemannian 3-manifold whose curvature tensor is as in Theorem 4-(b) at some point can be isometrically immersed as a hypersurface in a space of constant curvature.

## References

- [1] B. Fiedler; Determination of the structure of algebraic curvature tensors by means of Young symmetrizers, *Sém. Lothar. Combin.* **48** (2002), Art. B48d, 20 pp. (Electronic).
- [2] B. Fiedler; Short formulas for algebraic covariant derivative curvature tensors via algebraic combinatorics, to appear.
- [3] P. Gilkey; *Geometric properties of natural operators defined by the Riemann curvature tensor*, World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 2001.
- [4] P. Gilkey, R. Ivanova; The Jordan normal form of Osserman algebraic curvature tensors, *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin* **43** (2002), no. 2, 231–242.
- [5] R. E. Greene; Isometric embeddings, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **75** (1969) 1308–1310
- [6] J. Nash; The imbedding problem for Riemannian manifolds, *Ann. of Math.*, (2) **63** (1956), 20–63.

Authors's address

Department of Geometry and Topology, Faculty of Mathematics  
University of Santiago de Compostela  
15782 Santiago de Compostela, (SPAIN)  
*E-mail*: tjosec@usc.es, xtedugr@usc.es