THE STRUCTURE OF ALGEBRAIC COVARIANT DERIVATIVE CURVATURE TENSORS

J. DÍAZ-RAMOS, B. FIEDLER, E. GARCÍA-RÍO, AND P. GILKEY

ABSTRACT. We use the Nash embedding theorem to construct generators for the space of algebraic covariant derivative curvature tensors.

1. Introduction

Let M be an m dimensional Riemannian manifold. To a large extent, the geometry of M is the study of the Riemannian curvature $R \in \otimes^4 T^*M$ which is defined by the Levi-Civita connection ∇ and, to a lesser extent, the study of the covariant derivative ∇R . For example, M is a local symmetric space if and only if $\nabla R = 0$; note that local symmetric spaces are locally homogeneous.

It is convenient to work in the algebraic context. Let V be an m-dimensional real vector space. Let $\mathcal{A}(V) \subset \otimes^4 V^*$ and $\mathcal{A}_1(V) \subset \otimes^5 V^*$ be the spaces of all algebraic curvature tensors and all algebraic covariant derivative tensors, respectively, i.e. those tensors A and A_1 having the symmetries of R and of ∇R :

$$\begin{split} &A(x,y,z,w) = A(z,w,x,y) = -A(y,x,z,w), \\ &A(x,y,z,w) + A(y,z,x,w) + A(z,x,y,w) = 0, \\ &A_1(x,y,z,w;v) = A_1(z,w,x,y;v) = -A_1(y,x,z,w;v), \\ &A_1(x,y,z,w;v) + A_1(y,z,x,w;v) + A_1(z,x,y,w;v) = 0, \\ &A_1(x,y,z,w;v) + A_1(x,y,w,v;z) + A_1(x,y,v,z;w) = 0. \end{split}$$

Let $S^p(V) \subset \otimes^p V^*$ be the space of totally symmetric p forms. If $\Psi \in S^2(V)$ and if $\Psi_1 \in S^3(V)$, define $A_{\Psi} \in \mathcal{A}(V)$ and $A_{1,\Psi,\Psi_1} \in \mathcal{A}_1(V)$ by:

$$\begin{array}{lcl} A_{\Psi}(x,y,z,w): & = & \Psi(x,w)\Psi(y,z) - \Psi(x,z)\Psi(y,w), \\ A_{1,\Psi,\Psi_1}(x,y,z,w;v): & = & \Psi_1(x,w,v)\Psi(y,z) + \Psi(x,w)\Psi_1(y,z,v) \\ & - & \Psi_1(x,z,v)\Psi(y,w) - \Psi(x,z)\Psi_1(y,w,v) \,. \end{array}$$

If one thinks of Ψ_1 as the symmetrized covariant derivative of Ψ , then A_{1,Ψ,Ψ_1} can be regarded, at least formally speaking, as the covariant derivative of A_{Ψ} .

Fiedler [6, 7] used group representation theory to show:

Theorem 1.1 (Fiedler).

- (1) $\mathcal{A}(V) = \operatorname{Span}_{\Psi \in S^2(V)} \{A_{\Psi}\}.$
- (2) $\mathcal{A}_1(V) = \operatorname{Span}_{\Psi \in S^2(V), \Psi_1 \in S^3(V)} \{A_{1,\Psi,\Psi_1}\}.$

Let $A \in \mathcal{A}(V)$ and $A_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1(V)$ be given. Choose $\nu(A)$ and $\nu_1(A_1)$ minimal so that there exist $\Psi_i \in S^2(V)$, $\tilde{\Psi}_i \in S^2(V)$, $\tilde{\Psi}_{1,j} \in S^3(V)$, and constants $\lambda_i, \lambda_{1,j}$ so:

$$\textstyle A = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq \nu(A)} \lambda_i A_{\Psi_i} \quad \text{and} \quad A_1 = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq \nu_1(A_1)} \lambda_{1,j} A_{1,\tilde{\Psi}_j,\tilde{\Psi}_{1,j}} \,.$$

Date: Version W01-v1m last changed 30 March 2004 by PG.

Key words and phrases. Algebraic curvature tensor, algebraic covariant derivative tensor, Jacobi operator, Nash embedding theorem, skew-symmetric curvature operator, Szabó operator. 2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 53B20.

Set

$$\nu(m) := \sup_{A \in \mathcal{A}(V)} \nu(A) \quad \text{and} \quad \nu_1(m) := \sup_{A_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1(V)} \nu_1(A_1).$$

The main result of this paper is the following:

Theorem 1.2. Let m > 2.

- (1) $\frac{1}{2}m \le \nu(m)$ and $\frac{1}{2}m \le \nu_1(m)$. (2) $\nu(m) \le \frac{1}{2}m(m+1)$ and $\nu_1(m) \le \frac{1}{2}m(m+1)$.

We shall establish the lower bounds of Assertion (1) in Section 2. The upper bound given in Assertion (2) for $\nu(m)$ is due to Díaz-Ramos and García-Río [4] who used the Nash embedding theorem [17]; they also gave a separate argument to show $\nu(2) = 1$ and $\nu(3) = 2$. In Section 3, we shall generalize their approach to establish the following simultaneous 'diagonalization' result from which Theorem 1.2 (2) will follow as a Corollary:

Theorem 1.3. Let V be an m dimensional vector space. Let $A \in \mathcal{A}(V)$ and let $A_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1(V)$ be given. There exists $\Psi_i \in S^2(V)$ and $\Psi_{1,i} \in S^3(V)$ so that

$$A = \sum_{1 \le i \le \frac{1}{2}m(m+1)} A_{\Psi_i}$$
 and $A_1 = \sum_{1 \le i \le \frac{1}{2}m(m+1)} A_{1,\Psi_i,\Psi_{1,i}}$.

The study of the tensors A_{Ψ} arose in the original instance from the Osserman conjecture and related matters; we refer to [9, 11] for a more extensive discussion than is possible here, and content ourselves with only a very brief introduction to the subject.

1.1. The Jacobi operator. If M is a pseudo-Riemannian manifold of signature (p,q) and dimension m=p+q, let $S^+(M)$ (resp. $S^-(M)$) be the bundle of unit spacelike (resp. timelike) tangent vectors. The Jacobi operator J(x) for $x \in TM$ is the self-adjoint endomorphism of TM characterized by the identity:

$$q(J(x)y, z) = R(y, x, x, z)$$
.

One says that M is spacelike Osserman (resp. timelike Osserman) if the eigenvalues of $J(\cdot)$ are constant on $S^+(M)$ (resp. $S^-(M)$). It turns out these two notions are equivalent and such a manifold is simply said to be Osserman.

Restrict for the moment to the Riemannian setting (p = 0). If M is a local rank 1 symmetric space or is flat, then the local isometries of M act transitively on the sphere bundle $S(M) = S^+(M)$ and hence the eigenvalues of $J(\cdot)$ are constant on S(M) and M is Osserman. Osserman [22] wondered if the converse held; this question has been called the Osserman conjecture by subsequent authors. The conjecture has been answered in the affirmative if $m \neq 16$ by work of Chi [3] and Nikolayevsky [18, 19, 20].

In the Lorentzian setting (p=1), an Osserman manifold has constant sectional curvature [2, 8]. In the higher signature setting (p > 1, q > 1) it is more natural to work with the Jordan normal form rather than just the eigenvalue structure. One says that M is spacelike Jordan Osserman (resp. timelike Jordan Osserman) if the Jordan normal form of $J(\cdot)$ is constant on $S^+(M)$ (resp. $S^-(M)$); these two notions are not equivalent. The following example is instructive. Let (\vec{x}, \vec{y}) for $\vec{x} = (x_1, ..., x_p)$ and $\vec{y} = (y_1, ..., y_p)$ be coordinates on \mathbb{R}^{2p} where $p \geq 3$. Let $f = f(\vec{x}) \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^p)$. Define a pseudo-Riemannian metric g_f of signature (p,p) on \mathbb{R}^{2p} by setting

(1.a)
$$g_f(\partial_i^x, \partial_j^x) = \partial_i^x f \cdot \partial_j^x f$$
, $g_f(\partial_i^y, \partial_j^y) = 0$, and $g_f(\partial_i^x, \partial_j^y) = g_f(\partial_j^y, \partial_i^x) = \delta_{ij}$.

Let Ψ be the Euclidean Hessian:

$$\Psi(\partial_i^x, \partial_i^x) = \partial_i^x \partial_i^x f, \quad \Psi(\partial_i^y, \partial_i^y) = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi(\partial_i^x, \partial_i^y) = \Psi(\partial_i^y, \partial_i^x) = 0.$$

One then has that $R = A_{\Psi}$. We suppose that the restriction of Ψ to Span $\{\partial_i^x, \partial_j^x\}$ is positive definite henceforth. Then M is a complete pseudo-Riemannian manifold which is spacelike and timelike Jordan Osserman. Similarly set

$$\Psi_1(\partial_i^x, \partial_i^x, \partial_k^x) = \partial_i^x \partial_i^x \partial_k^x f$$

and extend Ψ_1 to vanish if any entry is ∂_{ℓ}^y . One has $\nabla R = A_{1,\Psi,\Psi_1}$; thus if f is not quadratic, M is not a local symmetric space. With a bit more work one can show that for generic such f, M is curvature homogeneous but not locally affine homogeneous. We refer to [5, 14] for further details.

1.2. The skew-symmetric curvature operator. Let $\{e_1, e_2\}$ be an orthonormal basis for an oriented spacelike (resp. timelike) 2 plane π . The skew-symmetric curvature operator $\mathcal{R}(\pi)$ is characterized by the identity

$$g(\mathcal{R}(\pi)y,z) = R(e_1, e_2, y, z);$$

it is independent of the particular orthonormal basis chosen. One says that M is $spacelike\ Ivanov-Petrova$ (resp. $timelike\ Ivanov-Petrova$) if the eigenvalues of $\mathcal{R}(\cdot)$ are constant on the Grassmannian of oriented spacelike (resp. timelike) 2-planes; these two notions are equivalent and such a manifold is simply said to be Ivanov-Petrova. The notions $spacelike\ Jordan\ Ivanov-Petrova$ and $timelike\ Jordan\ Ivanov-Petrova$ are defined similarly and are not equivalent.

The Riemannian Ivanov-Petrova manifolds have been classified [10, 13, 21]; they have also been classified in the Lorentzian setting [24] if $m \geq 10$. For all these manifolds, the curvature tensors have the form $R = A_{\Psi}$ where Ψ is an idempotent isometry and $\mathcal{R}(\pi)$ always has rank 2. Conversely, in the algebraic setting, if R is a spacelike Jordan Ivanov-Petrova algebraic curvature tensor on a vector space of signature (p,q) where $q \geq 5$ and where $\mathrm{Rank}\{\mathcal{R}(\cdot)\} = 2$, then there exist λ and Ψ so that $R = \lambda A_{\Psi}$. This once again motivates the study of these tensors. Unfortunately, the situation in the indefinite setting is again quite different. There exist spacelike Ivanov-Petrova manifolds of signature (s, 2s) where $\mathcal{R}(\pi)$ has rank 4 and where the curvature tensor does not have the form $R = A_{\Psi}$. We refer to [15] for further details.

1.3. **The Szabó operator.** There is an analogous operator to the Jacobi operator which is defined by ∇R . The Szabó operator $J_1(x)$ is the self-adjoint endomorphism of TM characterized by $g(J_1(x)y,z) = \nabla R(y,x,x,z;x)$. One says that M is spacelike Szabó (resp. timelike Szabó) if the eigenvalues of $J_1(\cdot)$ are constant on $S^+(M)$ (resp. $S^-(M)$); these notions are equivalent and such a manifold is simply said to be Szabó. The notion spacelike (resp. timelike) Jordan Szabó is defined similarly.

In his study of 2 point symmetric spaces, Szabó [23] gave a very lovely topological argument showing that any Riemannian Szabó manifold is necessarily a local symmetric space – i.e. $\nabla R = 0$. This result was subsequently extended to the Lorentzian case [16]. In the higher signature setting, again the situation is unclear. The metric g_f described in Display (1.a) defines a Szabó pseudo-Riemannian manifolds of signature (p, p).

Even in the algebraic setting, there are no known non-zero elements $A_1 \in \mathcal{A}(V)$ which are spacelike Jordan Szabó. It has been shown [12] that if A_1 is a spacelike Jordan Szabó algebraic covariant derivative curvature tensor on a vector space of signature (p,q), where $q \equiv 1 \mod 2$ and p < q or where $q \equiv 2 \mod 4$ and p < q - 1, then $A_1 = 0$. This algebraic result yields an elementary proof of the geometrical fact that any pointwise totally isotropic pseudo-Riemannian manifold with such a signature (p,q) is locally symmetric. The general question of finding non-trivial spacelike Jordan Szabó covariant algebraic curvature tensors, or conversely showing non exist, remains open.

The examples discussed above motivate consideration of the tensors A_{1,Ψ,Ψ_1} and more generally of tensors which are combinations of these. We hope that Theorems 1.2 and 1.3, although of interest in their own right, will play a central role in these investigations.

2. A LOWER BOUND FOR $\nu(m)$ AND FOR $\nu_1(m)$

Let V be an m dimensional vector space, let $A \in \mathcal{A}(V)$, and let $A_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1(V)$. Give V a positive definite inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. The associated curvature operators are then defined by the identities:

$$\langle \mathcal{R}_A(\xi_1, \xi_2) z, w \rangle = A(\xi_1, \xi_2, z, w), \text{ and }$$

 $\langle \mathcal{R}_{A_1}(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) z, w \rangle = A_1(\xi_1, \xi_2, z, w; \xi_3).$

Theorem 1.2 (1) will follow from the following Lemma:

Lemma 2.1. Let V be a vector space of dimension $m = 2\bar{m}$ or $m = 2\bar{m} + 1$.

- (1) If $\Psi \in S^2(V)$ and if $\Psi_1 \in S^3(V)$, then for any $\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3 \in V$ one has: $\text{Rank}\{\mathcal{R}_{A_{\Psi}}(\xi_1, \xi_2)\} \leq 2$ and $\text{Rank}\{\mathcal{R}_{A_{1,\Psi,\Psi_1}}(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3)\} \leq 2$.
- (2) If $A \in \mathcal{A}(V)$ and $A_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1(V)$, then for any $\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3 \in V$ one has: $\text{Rank}\{\mathcal{R}_A(\xi_1, \xi_2)\} \leq 2\nu(A)$ and $\text{Rank}\{\mathcal{R}_{A_1}(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3)\} \leq 2\nu_1(A_1)$.
- (3) There exist $A \in \mathcal{A}(V)$, $A_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1(V)$, and $\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3 \in V$ so: $\text{Rank}\{\mathcal{R}_A(\xi_1, \xi_2)\} = 2\bar{m} \quad and \quad \text{Rank}\{\mathcal{R}_{A_1}(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_1)\} = 2\bar{m}$.

Proof. If $\Psi \in S^2(V)$ and $\Psi_1 \in S^3(V)$, let ψ and $\psi_1(\cdot)$ be the associated self-adjoint endomorphisms characterized by the identities

$$\langle \psi x, y \rangle = \Psi(x, y)$$
 and $\langle \psi_1(z)x, y \rangle = \Psi_1(x, y, z)$.

Assertion (1) follows from the expression:

$$\mathcal{R}_{A_{\Psi}}(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2})y = \{\Psi(\xi_{2}, y)\psi\}\xi_{1} - \{\Psi(\xi_{1}, y)\psi\}\xi_{2}, \text{ and } \mathcal{R}_{A_{1,\Psi,\Psi_{1}}}(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \xi_{3})y = \{\Psi(\xi_{2}, y)\psi_{1}(\xi_{3}) + \Psi_{1}(\xi_{2}, y, \xi_{3})\psi\}\xi_{1}$$

$$- \{\Psi(\xi_{1}, y)\psi_{1}(\xi_{3}) + \Psi_{1}(\xi_{1}, y, \xi_{3})\psi\}\xi_{2}.$$

Let
$$A_i := A_{\Psi_i}$$
, $A_{1,j} := A_{1,\tilde{\Psi}_j,\tilde{\Psi}_{1,j}}$, $\mathcal{R}_i := \mathcal{R}_{A_i}$, and $\mathcal{R}_{1,i} := \mathcal{R}_{A_{1,i}}$. Set $A = \sum_{1 \le i \le \nu(A)} A_i$ and $A_1 = \sum_{1 \le j \le \nu_1(A_1)} A_{1,j}$.

Assertion (2) follows from Assertion (1) as

$$\operatorname{Rank}\{\mathcal{R}_{A}(\cdot)\} = \operatorname{Rank}\{\sum_{1 \leq i \leq \nu(A)} \mathcal{R}_{i}(\cdot)\}$$

$$\leq \sum_{1 \leq i \leq \nu(A)} \operatorname{Rank}\{\mathcal{R}_{i}(\cdot)\} \leq 2\nu(A),$$

$$\operatorname{Rank}\{\mathcal{R}_{A_{1}}(\cdot)\} = \operatorname{Rank}\{\sum_{1 \leq j \leq \nu_{1}(A_{1})} \mathcal{R}_{1,j}(\cdot)\}$$

$$\leq \sum_{1 \leq j \leq \nu_{1}(A_{1})} \operatorname{Rank}\{\mathcal{R}_{1,j}(\cdot)\} \leq 2\nu_{1}(A_{1}).$$

If $\dim(V) = 2\bar{m}$, let $\{e_1, ..., e_{\bar{m}}, f_1, ..., f_{\bar{m}}\}$ be an orthonormal basis for V; if $\dim(V)$ is odd, the argument is similar and we simply extend A and A_1 to be trivial on the additional basis vector. Define the non-zero components of $\Psi_i \in S^2(V)$ and $\Psi_{1,i} \in S^3(V)$ by:

$$\begin{split} & \Psi_{i}(e_{j}, e_{k}) = \Psi_{i}(f_{j}, f_{k}) = \delta_{ij}\delta_{ik}, \\ & \Psi_{1,i}(e_{j}, e_{k}, e_{l}) = \Psi_{1,i}(f_{j}, f_{k}, f_{l}) = \delta_{ij}\delta_{ik}\delta_{il}; \end{split}$$

 $\Psi_i(\cdot,\cdot)$ and $\Psi_{1,i}(\cdot,\cdot,\cdot)$ vanish if both an 'e' and an 'f' appear. Let

$$\begin{split} A_i &:= A_{\Psi_i}, \quad \mathcal{R}_i := \mathcal{R}_{A_i}, \quad A_{1,i} := A_{1,\Psi_i,\Psi_{1,i}}, \quad \mathcal{R}_{1,i} := \mathcal{R}_{A_{1,i}}, \\ A &:= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq \bar{m}} A_i, \quad A_1 := \sum_{1 \leq i \leq \bar{m}} A_{1,i}, \\ \xi_1 &:= e_1 + \ldots + e_{\bar{m}}, \quad \xi_2 := f_1 + \ldots + f_{\bar{m}}, \quad \xi_3 := \xi_1 + \xi_2 \,. \end{split}$$

We may then complete the proof of Assertion (3) by computing:

$$\mathcal{R}_{A}(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2})e_{i} = \mathcal{R}_{i}(e_{i}, f_{i})e_{i} = -f_{i},
\mathcal{R}_{A}(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2})f_{i} = \mathcal{R}_{i}(e_{i}, f_{i})f_{i} = e_{i},
\mathcal{R}_{A_{1}}(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \xi_{3})e_{i} = \mathcal{R}_{1,i}(e_{i}, f_{i}, e_{i} + f_{i})e_{i} = -2f_{i}
\mathcal{R}_{A_{1}}(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \xi_{3})f_{i} = \mathcal{R}_{1,i}(e_{i}, f_{i}, e_{i} + f_{i})f_{i} = 2e_{i}. \quad \Box$$

3. Geometric realizability

Henceforth, let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be a non-singular innerproduct on an m dimensional vector space V, let $A \in \mathcal{A}(V)$ and let $A_1 \in \mathcal{A}(V)$.

Although the following is well-known, see for example Belger and Kowalski [1] where a more general result is established, we shall give the proof to keep the development as self-contained as possible and to establish notation needed subsequently.

Lemma 3.1.

- (1) If g is a pseudo-Riemannian metric on \mathbb{R}^m with $\partial_i q_{ik}(0) = 0$, then:
 - (a) $R_{ijkl}(0) = \frac{1}{2} \{ \partial_i \partial_k g_{jl} + \partial_j \partial_l g_{ik} \partial_i \partial_l g_{jk} \partial_j \partial_k g_{il} \} (0).$
 - (b) $R_{ijkl;n}(0) = \frac{1}{2} \{ \partial_i \partial_k \partial_n g_{jl} + \partial_j \partial_l \partial_n g_{ik} \partial_i \partial_l \partial_n g_{jk} \partial_j \partial_k \partial_n g_{il} \} (0).$
- (2) There exists the germ of a pseudo-Riemannian metric g on $(\mathbb{R}^m, 0)$ and an isomorphism Ξ from $T_0(\mathbb{R}^m)$ to V so that
 - (a) $\Xi^*\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = g|_{T_0(\mathbb{R}^m)}$.
 - (b) $\Xi^* A = R_g|_{T_0(\mathbb{R}^m)}$.
 - (c) $\Xi^* A_1 = \nabla R_q |_{T_0(\mathbb{R}^m)}$.

Proof. Since the 1 jets of the metric vanish at the origin, we have

$$\Gamma_{ijk} := g(\nabla_{\partial_i}\partial_j, \partial_k) = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_i g_{jk} + \partial_j g_{ik} - \partial_k g_{ij}) = O(|x|),$$

$$R_{ijkl}(0) = \{\partial_i \Gamma_{ikl} - \partial_j \Gamma_{ikl}\}(0), \text{ and } R_{ijkl:n}(0) = \{\partial_n R_{ijkl}\}(0).$$

Assertion (1) now follows; see, for example, [11] [cf Lemma 1.11.1] for further details. To prove the second assertion, choose an orthonormal basis $\{e_1, ..., e_m\}$ for V so that $\langle e_i, e_j \rangle = \pm \delta_{ij}$; we use this orthonormal basis to identify $V = \mathbb{R}^m$. Let A_{ijkl} and $A_{1,ijkl;n}$ denote the components of A and of A_1 , respectively. Define

$$g_{ik} = \langle e_i, e_k \rangle - \frac{1}{3} \sum_{jl} A_{ijlk} x_j x_l - \frac{1}{6} \sum_{jln} A_{1,ijlk;n} x_j x_l x_n.$$

Clearly $g_{ik} = g_{ki}$. As $g|T_0\mathbb{R}^m = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, g is non-degenerate on some neighborhood of 0. Since the 1 jets of the metric vanish at 0 we have by Assertion (1) that

$$R_{ijkl}(0) = \frac{1}{6} \{ -A_{jikl} - A_{jkil} - A_{ijlk} - A_{iljk} + A_{jilk} + A_{jlik} + A_{ijkl} + A_{ikjl} \}$$

$$= \frac{1}{6} \{ 4A_{ijkl} - 2A_{iljk} - 2A_{iklj} \} = A_{ijkl},$$

$$R_{ijkl;n}(0)$$

$$= \frac{1}{12} \{ -A_{jikl;n} - A_{jkil;n} - A_{jnkl;i} - A_{jknl;i} - A_{jinl;k} - A_{jnil;k} - A_{ijlk;n} - A_{iljk;n} - A_{inlk;j} - A_{ilnk;j} - A_{ijnk;l} - A_{injk;l} + A_{jilk;n} + A_{jlik;n} + A_{jnlk;i} + A_{jlnk;i} + A_{jink;l} + A_{jnik;l} + A_{injlk;k} \}$$

$$= \frac{1}{12} \{ (4A_{ijkl;n} - 2A_{jkil;n} + 2A_{jlik;n}) + (-2A_{jnkl;i} - 2A_{inlk;j}) + (-2A_{jinl;k} - 2A_{ijnk;l}) + (-A_{injk;l} - A_{jknl;i}) + (A_{jlnk;i} + A_{injl;k}) + (A_{jnik;l} + A_{iknl;j}) \}$$

$$= \frac{1}{12} \{ 6A_{ijkl;n} + 2A_{ijkl;n} + 2A_{ijkl;n} + A_{ilkj;n} + A_{jkli;n} - A_{jlki;n} - A_{iklj;n} \}$$

$$= \frac{1}{12} \{ 10A_{ijkl;n} + 2A_{ilkj;n} + 2A_{ikjl;n} \} = \frac{1}{12} \{ 10A_{ijkl;n} - 2A_{ijlk;n} \} = A_{ijkl;n} . \quad \square$$

We suppose the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is positive definite henceforth. We apply the Nash embedding theorem [17] to find an embedding $f : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^{m+\kappa}$ realizing the metric g constructed in Lemma 3.1. By writing the submanifold as a graph over its tangent plane, we can choose coordinates (x, y) on $\mathbb{R}^{m+\kappa}$ where $x = (x_1, ..., x_m)$ and $y = (y_1, ..., y_{\kappa})$ so that

$$f(x) = (x, f_1(x), ..., f_{\kappa}(x))$$
 where $df_{\nu}(0) = 0$ for $1 \le \nu \le \kappa$.

Since $f_*(\partial_i^x) = (0, ..., 1, ..., 0, \partial_i^x f_1, ..., \partial_i^x f_\kappa)$, we have

$$g_{ij}(x) = \delta_{ij} + \sum_{1 < \sigma < \kappa} \partial_i^x f_\sigma \cdot \partial_j^x f_\sigma.$$

Let $\Psi_{ij}^{\sigma} := \partial_i^x \partial_j^x f_{\sigma}(0)$ and $\Psi_{ijk}^{\sigma} := \partial_i^x \partial_j^x \partial_k^x f_{\sigma}(0)$. As $dg_{ij}(0) = 0$, by Lemma 3.1:

$$\begin{split} R_{ijkl}(0) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leq \sigma \leq \kappa} \{ (\Psi^{\sigma}_{ij} \Psi^{\sigma}_{kl} + \Psi^{\sigma}_{il} \Psi^{\sigma}_{kj}) + (\Psi^{\sigma}_{ji} \Psi^{\sigma}_{lk} + \Psi^{\sigma}_{jk} \Psi^{\sigma}_{li}) \\ &- (\Psi^{\sigma}_{ij} \Psi^{\sigma}_{lk} + \Psi^{\sigma}_{ik} \Psi^{\sigma}_{lj}) - (\Psi^{\sigma}_{ji} \Psi^{\sigma}_{kl} + \Psi^{\sigma}_{jl} \Psi^{\sigma}_{ki}) \} \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq \sigma \leq \kappa} \{ \Psi^{\sigma}_{il} \Psi^{\sigma}_{jk} - \Psi^{\sigma}_{ik} \Psi^{\sigma}_{jl} \} = \sum_{1 \leq \sigma \leq \kappa} A_{\Psi^{\sigma}}, \\ R_{ijkl;n}(0) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leq \sigma \leq \kappa} \{ (\Psi^{\sigma}_{jin} \Psi^{\sigma}_{lk} + \Psi^{\sigma}_{jkn} \Psi^{\sigma}_{li} + \Psi^{\sigma}_{ji} \Psi^{\sigma}_{lkn} + \Psi^{\sigma}_{jk} \Psi^{\sigma}_{lin} + \Psi^{\sigma}_{jik} \Psi^{\sigma}_{ln} + \Psi^{\sigma}_{jn} \Psi^{\sigma}_{lik}) \\ &+ (\Psi^{\sigma}_{ijn} \Psi^{\sigma}_{kl} + \Psi^{\sigma}_{iln} \Psi^{\sigma}_{kj} + \Psi^{\sigma}_{ij} \Psi^{\sigma}_{kln} + \Psi^{\sigma}_{il} \Psi^{\sigma}_{kjn} + \Psi^{\sigma}_{ijl} \Psi^{\sigma}_{kn} + \Psi^{\sigma}_{in} \Psi^{\sigma}_{kjl}) \\ &- (\Psi^{\sigma}_{jin} \Psi^{\sigma}_{kl} + \Psi^{\sigma}_{jln} \Psi^{\sigma}_{ki} + \Psi^{\sigma}_{ji} \Psi^{\sigma}_{kln} + \Psi^{\sigma}_{jl} \Psi^{\sigma}_{kin} + \Psi^{\sigma}_{jil} \Psi^{\sigma}_{kn} + \Psi^{\sigma}_{jn} \Psi^{\sigma}_{kil}) \\ &- (\Psi^{\sigma}_{ijn} \Psi^{\sigma}_{lk} + \Psi^{\sigma}_{ikn} \Psi^{\sigma}_{lj} + \Psi^{\sigma}_{ij} \Psi^{\sigma}_{lkn} + \Psi^{\sigma}_{ijk} \Psi^{\sigma}_{ljn} + \Psi^{\sigma}_{in} \Psi^{\sigma}_{ljk}) \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq \sigma \leq \kappa} \{ \Psi^{\sigma}_{iln} \Psi^{\sigma}_{jk} + \Psi^{\sigma}_{jkn} \Psi^{\sigma}_{il} - \Psi^{\sigma}_{ikn} \Psi^{\sigma}_{jl} - \Psi^{\sigma}_{ik} \Psi^{\sigma}_{jln} \} = \sum_{1 \leq \sigma \leq \kappa} A_{1,\Psi^{\sigma},\Psi^{\sigma}}. \end{split}$$

Consequently, $\nu(A) \leq \kappa$ and $\nu(A_1) \leq \kappa$. Theorem 1.3 follows from the Nash embedding theorem as in the analytic category we may take $\kappa \leq \frac{1}{2}m(m+1)$.

Acknowledgments

J.C. Díaz-Ramos and E. García-Río are supported by project BFM2003-02949, Spain. Research of P. Gilkey partially supported by the MPI (Leipzig).

DEDICATION

11 de Marzo de 2004 Madrid: En memoria de todas las víctimas inocentes. Todos íbamos en ese tren. (In memory of all these innocent victims. We were all on that train.)

References

- M. Belger and O. Kowalski, Riemannian metrics with the prescribed curvature tensor and all its covariant derivatives at one point, Math. Nachr. 168 (1994), 209–225.
- [2] N. Blažić, N. Bokan and P. Gilkey, A Note on Osserman Lorentzian manifolds, Bull. London Math. Soc. 29 (1997), 227–230.
- [3] Q.-S. Chi, A curvature characterization of certain locally rank-one symmetric spaces, J. Differential Geom. 28 (1988), 187–202.
- [4] J. C. Díaz-Ramos and E. García-Río, A note on the structure of algebraic curvature tensors, Linear Algebra Appl. (to appear).
- [5] C. Dunn and P. B. Gilkey, Curvature homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian manifolds which are not locally homogeneous, to appear in the *Proceedings of the Conference in honor of* L. Vanhecke, preprint math.DG/0306072.
- [6] B. Fiedler, Determination of the structure of algebraic curvature tensors by means of Young symmetrizers, *Séminaire Lotharingien de Combinatoire*, B48d (2003). 20 pp. Electronically published: http://www.mat.univie.ac.at/~slc/; see also math.CO/0212278.
- [7] B. Fiedler, Generators of algebraic covariant derivative curvature tensors and Young symmetrizers, to appear in Progress in Computer Science Research, ed. F. Columbus, Nova Science Publishers, Inc.; arXiv.org/abs/math.CO/0310020.
- [8] E. García-Río, D. Kupeli and M. E. Vázquez-Abal, On a problem of Osserman in Lorentzian geometry, Differential Geom. Appl. 7 (1997), 85–100.

- [9] E. García–Río, D. Kupeli, and R. Vázquez-Lorenzo, Osserman Manifolds in Semi-Riemannian Geometry, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1777. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002. ISBN: 3-540-43144-6.
- [10] P. Gilkey, Riemannian manifolds whose skew symmetric curvature operator has constant eigenvalues II, Differential geometry and applications, (ed Kolar, Kowalski, Krupka, and Slovak) Publ Massaryk University Brno Czech Republic ISBN 80-210-2097-0 (1999), 73-87
- [11] P. Gilkey, Geometric properties of natural operators defined by the Riemann curvature tensor, World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 2001.
- [12] P. Gilkey, R. Ivanova, and I. Stavrov, Jordan Szabó algebraic covariant derivative curvature tensors, *Contemporary Mathematics* (Recent Advances in Riemannian and Lorentzian Geometries), 337 (2003), 65–76.
- [13] P. Gilkey, J. V. Leahy, and H. Sadofsky, Riemannian manifolds whose skew-symmetric curvature operator has constant eigenvalues, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 48 (1999), 615–634.
- [14] P. Gilkey and S. Nikčević, Complete curvature homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian manifolds; math.DG/0402282
- [15] P. Gilkey and S. Nikčević, Manifolds which are Ivanov-Petrova or k-Stanilov, J. Geometry, to appear; math.DG/0310118.
- [16] P. Gilkey and I. Stavrov, Curvature tensors whose Jacobi or Szabó operator is nilpotent on null vectors, Bulletin London Math Society 34 (2002), 650–658.
- [17] J. Nash, The embedding problem for Riemannian manifolds, Ann. of Math. 63 (1956), 20–63.
- [18] Y. Nikolayevsky, Two theorems on Osserman manifolds, Differential Geom. Appl. 18 (2003), 239–253.
- [19] Y. Nikolayevsky, Osserman Conjecture in dimension $n \neq 8, 16$; math.DG/0204258.
- [20] Y. Nikolayevsky, Osserman manifolds of dimension 8; math.DG/0310387
- [21] Y. Nikolayevsky, Riemannian manifolds of dimension 7 whose skew-symmetric curvature operator has constant eigenvalues, math.DG/0311429.
- [22] R. Osserman, Curvature in the eighties, Amer. Math. Monthly 97 (1990), 731–756.
- [23] Z. I. Szabó, A short topological proof for the symmetry of 2 point homogeneous spaces, Invent. Math. 106 (1991), 61–64.
- [24] T. Zhang, Applications of algebraic topology in bounding the rank of the skew-symmetric curvature operator, *Topology Appl.* **124** (2002), 9–24.
- JD: Department of Geometry and Topology, Faculty of Mathematics, University of Santiago de Compostla, 15782 Santiago de Compostla, Spain. Email: xtjosec@usc.es
- BF: MATHEMATICS INSTITUTE, UNIVERSITY OF LEIPZIG, AUGUSTUSPLATZ 10/11, 04109 LEIPZIG, GERMANY. EMAIL: bernd.fiedler.roschstr.leipzig@t-online.de
- EG: Department of Geometry and Topology, Faculty of Mathematics, University of Santiago de Compostla, 15782 Santiago de Compostla, Spain. Email: xtedugr@usc.es
- PG: Mathematics Department, University of Oregon, Eugene Or
 97403 USA. Email: gilkey@darkwing.uoregon.edu